# TEACHER INTERVIEW FOR THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER RECEIVING SPANISH READING INSTRUCTION AND AT-RISK FOR DYSLEXIA (DYSLEXIA SCREENING CHECKLIST)

Student:			ID#:	DOB:	_ (	Grade:	Campus:	
Check	dist Co	mplet	ed By:	Position:			Subject:	
Retur	n Com	pleted	Form To:		_	Ву:		
Date	Date Completed By Teacher:							
<b>DIRECTIONS:</b> Please respond to each of the following statements by checking (X) the blanks that be describe the student. The form may be used by the Student Support/RtI Team, Secti 504, or Special Education as a way to gather information from teachers on whether t student exhibits characteristics of dyslexia.						nt Support/Rtl Team, Section		
YES	No						-	
		1.	HAS STUDENT BEEN REEN F	RETAINED? IF "YE	ES", W	HAT GRAD	E?	
			detected earlier in dev	velopment. Due to tudent <b>may not</b> ext	the	regularity	hies (Spanish) can usually be of the phoneme/grapheme phonemic awareness and/or	
		2.	STUDENT LACK PHONEMIC	AWARENESS/PHONOL	OGICA	L PROCESSI	ING SKILLS.	
			<ul> <li>☐ Has difficulty blending words</li> <li>☐ Has difficulty identifying the beginning, middle</li> <li>☐ Has difficulty recognize</li> <li>☐ Has difficulty breaking</li> <li>☐ Has difficulty blending syllables together to grant to grant words.</li> </ul>	ng specific sounds at , and end of words ing words that rhyme g words into syllables g sounds and/or		words Has difficu Has difficu combining Has difficu Has difficu	ulty segmenting syllables within ulty producing rhyming words ulty segmenting, deleting, and/or g sounds and/or syllables in a word ulty counting the syllables in a word ulty discriminating between s and differences of sounds in	
FOR QUESTION 3:  Often, children are not taught the letter name of the grapheme, only the phone because many letter names are combinations of several phonemes, ruining advantage of the transparency of the language. Teachers may have delayed deleted the teaching of the letter name.						eral phonemes, ruining the		
		3.	STUDENT HAS DIFFICULTY		GE.			
			☐ Is unable to identify le random☐ Is unable to write the sequence	·			recite the alphabet in sequence inging or chanting)	

YES	No				
		4.	STUDENT HAS <u>UNUSUAL</u> DIFFICULTY WITH SPELLI	NG - B	EYOND WEEKLY SPELLING TEST.
			☐ Has poor sequencing of sounds ☐ Has difficulty connecting sounds to letters ☐ Demonstrates incomplete letter patterns ☐ Demonstrates consistent letter reversals		Has difficulty with multi-syllable words Is not able to retain memory stock of basic spelling words
			FOR QUESTION 5:  Reading difficulties in transparent orthog to the alphabet-principle (i.e., Spanish, Ito often noticed in the student's reading r decoding.	alian,	Turkish, Greek, and Finnish) are more
		5.	STUDENT IS UNABLE TO READ SATISFACTORILY IN CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION.	SPITE	OF ADEQUATE INTELLIGENCE AND EFFECTIVE
			ORAL READING: Student  Guesses words from initial letter Reads orally without expression, intonation and/or phrasing Spends limited amount of time in reading activities – Explain: Avoids oral reading – Explain:  Makes reading errors that show no connection to the sounds of the letters Stumbles on reading multi-syllable words or fails to come close to sounding out the full word Reading accuracy has improved over time but continues to lack automaticity and is laborious	0 000 0	READING COMPREHENSION: Student is  Unable to answer questions after reading  narrative expository  Unable to understand main idea of a passage  Unable to recall sequences of events  Unable to draw conclusions or make  inferences from a passage  Unable to read and complete math story  problems  Able to understand information when it is  read orally to him/her
		6.	STUDENT HAS <u>UNUSUAL</u> DIFFICULTY WITH HAND	VRITI	NG.
			<ul> <li>☐ Has difficulty staying on the line</li> <li>☐ Has poor organization on the page</li> <li>☐ Has cramped fingers on writing tools</li> <li>☐ Has excessive erasures, especially due to</li> </ul>		Writing is virtually illegible Work deteriorates toward the end of writing exercise Has difficulty distinguishing between capital/ lower case letters
			faulty form  Overall writing effort is awkward and uneven		Has slow, non-automatic letter formation
		7.	STUDENT HAS DIFFICULTY COMPLETING WRITTEN	ASSIG	SNMENTS.
			<ul> <li>□ Uses capital and lower case letters incorrectly</li> <li>□ Constructs sentences poorly (syntax)</li> <li>□ Has many misspellings</li> <li>□ Has poor organization, spacing</li> <li>□ Leaves out words in writing</li> <li>□ Make punctuation errors</li> </ul>		Student's written work does not reflect his/her potential Makes many grammatical errors Paper shows many erasures Spells the same word several different ways Composes meaningful content in spite of poor handwriting or spelling

YES	No								
		8. STUDENT HAS DIFFICULTY WITH VERBAL WORKING MEMORY — TEMPORARY MAINTENANCE AND							
			MAN	NIPULATION OF VERBAL INFORMATION.					
				Requires frequent reminders		Forgets the cont			
				Has difficulty remembering multi-step oral		Has poor recall a	ability e	special	ly for words
				directions Has difficulty expressing him/herself clearly		and names Leaves out parts	s of wo	rds or co	onfuses the
				and fluently	_	order of the par			omuses the
				Unable to find the exact word such as		Unable to come	up wit	h a verb	al response
				confusing words that sound alike		quickly when qu			
				Speaks in words or phrases Uses "immature" speech		Is not fluent at t reports	eiling s	tories o	r giving orai
				Unable to discuss information		Has difficulty wi	th rapid	d, auton	natic naming
				Unable to memorize the days of the week,		of familiar object			·letters
				months of the year, and/or multiplication tables		Uses limited voc	abular	/	
				tables					
		9.	STU	DENT HAS DIFFICULTY WITH ORTHOGRAPHIC	PROCE	SSING.			
				Forgets how letters look		Has difficulty lea	arning h	now to f	orm letters
				Has difficulty with orthographic decision		Has trouble cop	-	m a bo	ok or
				tasks (i.e., habitación vs. abrasion, gigante vs. jigante)		chalkboard to pa	•		
				Misreads little words in text (este for esta)		Reads at a slow Confuses letters		milar aı	nnearance
				Reverses letters when spelling $(b \text{ for } d)$ –		Reverses letters			
				past the age of 7				Ū	,
		10.		DENT DEMONSTRATES THE FOLLOWING ABILI  F RELATES TO READING ABILITIES.	TIES TI	HEREFORE RESULT	TING IN	" <u>UNE</u>	(PECTEDNESS"
				Participates in class discussions		Comprehends in	format	ion rea	d to him/her
				Shows talent in other areas such as art,		Demonstrates a			
				drama, music, or sports		questions after		_	-
				Has the ability to learn orally in class – science, social studies, etc.		Demonstrates a word problems	n unde	rstandir	ng of math
				Has the ability to learn and express					ve average
				meanings of words (vocabulary)		reading compre	_		J
				Is fluent at telling stories or giving oral					n-reading
				reports  Has unusually large verbal or listening		sources			
				vocabulary					
						_			
11.	11. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING STUDENT'S <u>LISTENING COMPREHENSION</u> .								
								SOMETIMES	
<ul> <li>Enjoys having stories read aloud</li> <li>Responds after first presentation – does not often ask for things to be repeated</li> </ul>									
	<ul> <li>Responds after first presentation – does not often ask for things to be repeated</li> <li>Responds to questions within expected time period</li> </ul>				_		_		
	Follows two- or three-step directions								
• Demonstrates understanding (verbally or nonverbally) of the main idea of a verbal									
<ul> <li>presentation</li> <li>Comprehends who, what, when, where, why and how questions appropriate for age</li> </ul>									
level									
				inderstanding of vocabulary appropriate for ag					
				inderstanding of temporal ( <i>before/after</i> ), positi ore/several) concepts	ion ( <i>ab</i>	ove/below) and	_	J	<b>-</b>
				btleties in word or sentence meaning (idioms, )	figurat	ive language)			
	<ul> <li>Understands a variety of sentence structures</li> </ul>								

12.	PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING STUDENT'S ORAL EXPRESSION SKILLS.						
	THE STUDENT (check all that apply):	YES	No	SOMETIMES			
	• Uses correct grammatical structure for a variety of purposes appropriate for age:						
	a. Formulates sentences correctly						
	b. Uses subject/verb appropriately						
	c. Uses verb tenses appropriately						
	d. Uses pronouns correctly						
	e. Uses gender rules correctly - 🖵 regular 💢 irregular						
	Labels common objects correctly						
	Uses appropriate vocabulary						
	Knows how to begin, maintain, and end a conversation						
	<ul> <li>Tells stories or relates information in the proper sequence with beginning, middle, and/or end</li> </ul>						

# REFERENCES:

Birsh, Judith R. *Multisensory Teaching of Basic Language Skills, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition*.

Dehn, Milton J. Working Memory and Academic Learning – Assessment and Intervention.

Farrall, Melissa Lee. Reading Assessment – Linking Language, Literacy, and Cognition.

Mather, Nancy and Wendling, Barbara J. Essentials of Dyslexia Assessment and Intervention.

Shaywitz, Sally. Overcoming Dyslexia.

Texas Education Agency. The Dyslexia Handbook, Revised 2014.

# DYSLEXIA PARENT INFORMATION FORM FOR SPANISH SPEAKING (ELL) STUDENTS

Student:		DOB:	ID#:	Grade:	Campus:
Date:		]			
DIRECTIONS:		sing the problems y e presence of dysle			chool and to detect the ving questions.
Parent(s) Name	e:		Address:		
What is the pri	mary language sp	ooken: At home	<b>::</b>	By chil	d:
•	If "No": □ Which language	and English: speak Spanish <b>and</b> I No, only Father did the child begin rn to speak English	☐ No, only speaking first?	Mother  □ Spanish	~
		ld have? the home?		Sisters	
Do any family i	_	history of learning o			es 🖵 No
	ob changes, deat	changes within the	separations, d	ivorce?	☐ Yes ☐ No
•	ther children in t lower	the family, is this ch	•	earn information  better develo	•
Compared to other children in the family, did this child reach developmental milestones at:  slower rate  faster rate					
Has your child If "Yes", what §	ever been retaing	ed ?		□ Y	es 🔲 No
Do you feel that your child is experiencing problems in school?					

Medical/Physical: Other: **MEDICAL HISTORY:** Were there any problems before, during or immediately after your pregnancy?  $\Box$  Yes ■ No If "Yes", please explain: Did your child have multiple episodes of middle ear fluid build-up? Yes ☐ No If "Yes", please explain: Does your child have chronic earaches (otitis media) or ear tubes? Yes ☐ No If "Yes", please explain: Has your child been examined for vision problems or glasses? ■ No Yes If "Yes", please explain: \_\_\_\_\_ Is your child currently prescribed glasses to correct their vision problem? Yes ■ No If "Yes", please explain: Does your child have any other physical/health problems, such as allergies, asthma, ADHD, etc.? Yes ■ No If "Yes", please explain: Is your child currently under the care of a physician and/or taking prescription medications? ☐ Yes ■ No If "Yes", please explain: ADDITIONAL EARLY CHILDHOOD INFORMATION: ■ 1 year +/-Began saying their first words at: 2 years Began to speak in phrases: ☐ 1½ to 2 years ☐ 2 years + Did your child have mild speech or articulation problems? ☐ No ☐ Yes If "Yes", please explain: Did your child have trouble rhyming words? Yes ■ No If "Yes", please explain: Did you read to your child from books or magazines before they attended school? 

☐ Yes ☐ No Was your child able to recognize and name colors before they attended school? Yes ☐ No Was your child able to count to 10 independently before they attended school? ■ No Yes Was your child able to put puzzles together independently before they attended school? ☐ Yes ■ No Did your child attend pre-school (public or private)? ■ No If "Yes", did the pre-school provide a structured curriculum for reading and writing? ☐ Yes ☐ No Did your child attend kindergarten (public or private)? Yes ■ No If "Yes", did the kindergarten provide a structured curriculum for reading and writing? ☐ Yes ■ No

This form serves as a sample document that could be used in your district to record parent information. Alterations to this form

can and should be made in accordance with district policies and procedures for dyslexia.

This form serves as a sample document that <b>could</b> be used in your district to record parent information. Alterations to this form can and should be made in accordance with district policies and procedures for dyslexia.							
teac read	If your child attended either pre-school and/or kindergarten, did the teacher ever mention or indicate that your child was experiencing any reading or writing difficulties?  If "Yes", please explain:						
Does	S your Read Color Draw Othe Othe S your Sport Karat Board Othe	loring	te r: (specify)				
		omplete the following questions by checking either the "					
YES	No	<b>–</b>					
			rs of age)				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
			nd the word." ("Um, um, um I forgot")				
		Had difficulty learning/saying a new vocabulary word					
		Overuses vague words like "stuff" or "that thing" ("co	osas", "este", "esta")				
Brro	DE T	THE CHILD STARTED SCHOOL:					
BEFO	RE THE	HE CHILD STARTED SCHOOL:	coguanco				
		That anneally terming and of reterming stories in correct					
		g are all a series of the seri	ne week, colors and snapes				
		The control of the co	o song				
			Had difficulty reciting the alphabet <b>without</b> singing the song				
		That announcy to onto your processing a control of the	naom				
		Had difficulty learning the sounds that letters make					

ONCE ENROLLED IN SCHOOL:					
		Child spends more time than is expected or normal on homework			
		Child needs an extraordinary amount of help with homework			
		Child prefers to be read to rather than reading to you			
FAMILY HISTORY OF DYSLEXIA:					
		Other family members have been diagnosed with dyslexia and/or learning problems  If "Yes":  Father  Mother  Brother  Sister  If "Yes", who diagnosed the dyslexia?			
Please include all additional information that might assist us in helping your child.  Return this form with the Parent Consent for Evaluation to:					

# Dyslexia Report for Students who are Bilingual (English/Spanish Speaking)

Cturd		15.11.	200	C		
	ent:		DOB:			
Cam	pus:	Date of Assessme	nt:			
<b>REASON FOR REFERRAL:</b> Page 1 and the top section on page 2 of this form <u>must</u> be completed by the referring campus <u>before</u> sending to dyslexia evaluator. Provide or attach educational background data including but not limited to previous screenings, universal screeners, curriculum-based/progress monitoring, information from classroom teacher(s), parent information, and student information. <u>The remainder of the profile is to be completed by the dyslexia evaluator.</u>						
SPECI	FIC REASON FOR REFERRAL:					
PREV	IOUS SCREENING INFORMATION:					
-	ude TPRI/Tejas LEE, Istation, STAR Early Literacy able, etc.)	scores, benchmark	s, state assessment	results if		
PARE	NT INFORMATION:					
	HER INFORMATION: ude observational data, writing samples, checkli	ists, etc.)				
THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED AND <u>EXCLUDED</u> AS PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO STUDENT'S <u>WORD READING</u> <u>AND SPELLING</u> DIFFICULTIES (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 17, 22, and 69):						
	Vision – Explain:					
	HEARING – Explain:					
	HEALTH-RELATED CONCERNS (e.g., brain injury, dis	ease, or surgery the	at interferes with lea	 ırning) – 		

<b>ATTENDANCE</b> (e.g., frequent change of schools or districts, irregular attendance, and/or frequent tardies, etc.) – Explain:
Culture/Language/Experiential Background — Explain:

# **EVALUATION SUMMARY AND PROFILE — TO BE COMPLETED BY DYSLEXIA EVALUATOR**

#### **CONSIDERATIONS FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS:**

A professional involved in the assessment, interpretation of assessment results, and identification of ELLs with dyslexia needs to have the following training/knowledge:

- Knowledge of first and second language acquisition theory
- Knowledge of the written system of the first language transparent (Spanish, Italian, German),
   syllabic (Japanese-kana), Semitic (Arabic, Hebrew), and morphosyllabic (Chinese-Kanji)
- Knowledge of student's literacy skills in native and second language
- Knowledge of how to interpret results from a cross-linguistic perspective
- Knowledge of how to interpret the TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System)
- Knowledge of how to interpret the results of the student's oral language proficiency in two or more languages in relation to the results of the tests measuring academic achievement and cognitive processes as well as academic data gathered and economic and socioeconomic factors

(The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 19-20)

#### ASSESS AND EVALUATE LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT AND PROFICIENCY

Knowledge of a child's language proficiency and language dominance forms the basis of any assessment and guides the appropriate collection of information and data. Language proficiency in both languages <u>must</u> be assessed and determined as such information is crucial to the interpretation of any assessment data that is gathered. (Samuel O. Ortiz, Ph.D., St. John's University; Criselda Alvarado, Ph.D. "Best Practices in Assessment of Culturally Linguistic Diverse Students", pg. 6, 10-2006.)

**ORAL LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY & DOMINANCE:** Testing should be conducted in both languages of the student. Use this information to interpret other test scores. (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 20*)

**ATTENTION OR MEMORY** issues may impact (lower) the **listening comprehension** score; additional data can help substantiate possible difficulties such as teacher observations, parent observations, experiential background, etc. Additional areas for assessment (formal or informal measures) may include vocabulary, syntax, pragmatics, semantics, background knowledge, and inferencing.

Areas Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	STANDARD SCORE ENGLISH	STANDARD SCORE SPANISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY ENGLISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY SPANISH
LISTENING COMPREHENSION					
ORAL EXPRESSION					

Areas Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	STANDARD SCORE ENGLISH	STANDARD SCORE SPANISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY ENGLISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY SPANISH
ORAL LANGUAGE* (EXT)					
INFORMAL MEASURES	TELPAS LAS/IPT SOLOM ACCULTURATION QUICK SCREENER BOLD				
ACADEMIC VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE*					

<sup>\*</sup>The problems many students face with language proficiency revolve more around their lack of mastery of academic English than their ability to decode single words. (Wong, Fillimore, & Snow, 2000)

### RECOMMENDED PRACTICES FOR ASSESSING LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY:

"In general, the child's language performance must be compared to that of other bilingual speakers who have similar cultural and linguistic experience. (i.e., The child should be compared to members of the same cultural group who speak the same language/dialect and who have had similar opportunities to hear and use both languages.)" (Robert L. Rhodes, Salvador Hector Ochoa, and Samuel O. Ortiz, "Assessing Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Students", 2005.)

HOME LANGUAGE SURVEY (DATE):	LANGUAGE:				
PARENT DATA:					
CONVERSATION SAMPLES observing discourse rules and language use:					
NARRATIVE RETELL TASK:					
(ORAL) CLOZE PROCEDURE:					

### ACADEMIC SKILLS – AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:

The committee (§504 or ARD) <u>must</u> first determine whether a student's difficulties in the areas of <u>word</u> <u>reading and spelling</u> reflect a pattern of evidence for the primary characteristics of dyslexia with <u>unexpectedly</u> low performance for the student's age and educational level in some or all of the following areas (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders*, pg. 22):\*

PRIMARY CHARACTERISTICS OF DYSLEXIA	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	Average SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
WORD READING — [Reading words in isolation]	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
DECODING UNFAMILIAR WORDS ACCURATELY	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
SPELLING — [An isolated difficulty in spelling would NOT be sufficient to identify dyslexia.]	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
LETTER KNOWLEDGE AND LETTER-SOUND CORRESPONDENCE: Informal and/or observational data.			E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:

<sup>\*</sup>Because phonological decoding is easier to master in Spanish than in English, phonological dyslexics are harder to detect. Differences between good readers and the reading disabled become more apparent when pseudo-words or words with low frequency are used. For this reason, pseudo-word reading is the most commonly used task in Spanish to select dyslexic children characterized by difficulties in using the phonological route. (Carmen López-Escribano and Tami Katzir, "Are Phonological Processes Separate from the Processes Underlying Naming Speed in a Shallow Orthography." Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, Vol. 6(3), pg. 646, 2008. [Citing R. Guzmán, "Evaluación de la velocidad lectora de nombrar en las dificultades de aprendizaje de la lectura." Psycotherma, 16, 442-447, 2004 and J. E. Jiménez, "Do the effects of computer-assisted practice differ for children with and without IQ-achievement discrepancy." Journal of Learning Disabilities, Vol. 36, 2003]).

The main observation is that the difficulties of Spanish dyslexic children are more noticeable when time is measured than when accuracy is measured. In other words, the deficit of the Spanish dyslexic children in terms of reading procedures and phonological processing skills becomes clearer when performance time is considered. (F. Serrano, S. Defior, "Dyslexia Speed Problems in a Transparent Orthography." Annals of Dyslexia, Vol. 58, pg. 90, 2008)

FLUENCY* Slow, inaccurate, or labored oral reading.							
READING FLUENCY - [Rate, Accuracy, and Prosody must be reported separately]	Assessment Instrument	WCPM [Rate]	% CORRECT [Accuracy]	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	Average SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
ACCURACY — [Reading words in text with no errors]	E: S:		E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
RATE — [Words correct per minute]	E: S:	E: S:		E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
OBSERVED PROSODY: [Pitch, tone, volume, emphasis, & rhythm]	E: S:			E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:

OTHER FLUENCY						
INDICATORS [specify]:	E:		E:	E:	E:	E:
	S:		S:	S:	S:	S:

"A Spanish-speaking child with a mild-to-moderate difficulty in phonological awareness may acquire word reading skills in Spanish with minimal difficulty, but manifest difficulties in fluency because of the more transparent orthography of Spanish relative to other alphabetic languages, such as English." (R.K. Wagner, D.J. & R.D. Morris, "Identifying English Language Learners with Disabilities: Key Challenges and Possible Approaches." Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, Vol. 20, pgs. 6-15, 2005.)

While decoding, word recognition, accuracy, and spelling are important dyslexia indicators in the English orthography, in more transparent orthographies, such as Spanish, it has less influence. Spanish-speaking children usually have more problems related to reading speed and orthographic knowledge. Their main reading problem is slow, laborious decoding of words when task demand increases. (Carmen López-Escribano and Tami Katzir, "Are Phonological Processes Separate from the Processes Underlying Naming Speed in a Shallow Orthography." Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, Vol. 6(3), pgs. 641-666, 2008.)

QUALITATIVE DATA — Information from classroom to include curriculum-based monitoring data (e.g., TPRI/Tejas LEE, Istation, etc.); reading and spelling inventories; and independent writing samples.
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?

Based on professional judgment in reviewing the student's qualitative and quantitative data, the evaluator has included the following assessments: **reading comprehension**, **mathematics**, and **written expression**. Measures used may be formal or informal.

(The Dyslexia Handbook - Revised 2014 - Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 20 - 22.)

SECONDARY CONSEQUENCES	Assessment Instrument [If formal, what assessment instrument was utilized?]	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
READING COMPREHENSION Formal Informal	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
MATHEMATICS ☐ Formal ☐ Informal	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
WRITTEN EXPRESSION [Informal writing samples]	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:

<b>QUALITATIVE DATA</b> – If providing informal data only, information from classroom should include:	informal
inventories, progress monitoring data and/or independent work samples.	
, p0,, p0,, 5, 5	

<sup>\*</sup>Fluency scores obtained through curriculum-based measures. Rate (words correct per minute), and accuracy level based on percent of words read correctly.

*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?

# **COGNITIVE PROCESSES UNDERLYING ACADEMIC WEAKNESSES – AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:**

Difficulties in **phonological** and **phonemic awareness** are typically seen in students with dyslexia. (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 20.*)

DIFFICULTIES: UNDERLYING CAUSE	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
PHONOLOGICAL AWARENESS	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
RAPID NAMING	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:

If phonological awareness is within the average range, consider the following:

- If a composite score is reported, look at the individual subtests that may reflect specific skill deficits reported in the composite score.
- Has the student received intervention that may have normalized the score? If so, it is important to note that because previous effective instruction in phonological/phonemic awareness may remediate phonological skills in isolation. Average phonological awareness scores alone do not rule out dyslexia. Ongoing phonological processing deficits can be exhibited in word reading and/or spelling. (The Dyslexia Handbook Revised 2014 Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22.)

Developmental dyslexia in Spanish seems to be associated with reading-related cognitive deficits that involve verbal working-memory, naming speed, and impairment in two main phonological skills related to learning to read, phonemic awareness, and phonological short-term memory. (These results lend support to the subgroup of dyslexics who experience the double-deficit phonological impairment plus impairment in naming speed which is the most serious dyslexic subgroup.) (Manuel Soriano and Lana Miranda, "Developmental Dyslexia in a Transparent Orthography: A Study of Spanish Dyslexic Children." Advances in Learning and Behavior Differences, Vol. 23, pg. 95, 2010.)

Letter Knowledge – name and associated sound are key to learning how to read and are not of and by themselves an indicator of dyslexia.

Depending on the nature of the writing system in the student's L1, rapid naming may be a better indicator of underlying cognitive deficits. (Carmen López-Escribano and Tami Katzir, "Are Phonological Processes Separate from the Processes Underlying Naming Speed in a Shallow Orthography." Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, Vol. 6(3), pg. 647, 2008.)

QUALITATIVE DATA – Information from classroom to include: early reading screeners, reading and spelling				
inventories, and information from teacher(s) and parents.				
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?				

# **UNEXPECTEDNESS — AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:**

S:

Based on the above information and <i>The Dyslexia Handbook</i> guidelines, should the committee (§504 or ARD) determine that the student exhibits weaknesses in <u>word reading and spelling</u> , the committee <u>must</u> then examine the student's data to determine whether these difficulties are <u>unexpected</u> in relation to the student's other abilities, sociocultural factors, language difference, irregular attendance, or lack of appropriate and effective instruction. "The student may exhibit strengths in areas such as reading comprehension, listening comprehension, math reasoning or verbal ability yet still have difficulty with reading and spelling. Therefore, <u>it is not one single indicator but a preponderance of data (both informal and formal) that provide the committee with evidence for whether these difficulties are <u>unexpected</u>."  (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22.)</u>						
	ening comprehension (ability d grade appropriate in either				☐ Yes	□ No
	ening comprehension in the a er their native or second lang		ge and grad	e	☐ Yes	□ No
C. Is the student's veri second language (o	bal expression age and grade or both)?	appropriate in ei	ther their na	ative or	☐ Yes	□ No
Area Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
ORAL EXPRESSION	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
QUALITATIVE DATA — Information from informal inventories, teacher(s), parent(s), and student.  *If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?						
D. Is the student's reading comprehension age and grade appropriate in either their native or second language (or both)?  E. Is the student's math reasoning age and grade appropriate in either their native or second language (or both)?						
AREA EVALUATED	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	Average SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
READING COMPREHENSION	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:

Region 10 ESC – Dyslexia 10-2015

S:

S:

S:

S:

MATH REASONING	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
QUALITATIVE DATA — In	QUALITATIVE DATA — Information from informal inventories, teacher(s), parent(s), and student.					
*If using subtest scor	*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?					
<b>ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT:</b> Based on professional judgment in reviewing the student's qualitative and quantitative data, the evaluator has included the following assessments related to word reading and spelling: phonological memory, orthographic processing, verbal working memory, and/or processing speed.  (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 20 - 21.)					iding and	
SECONDARY CONSEQUENCES	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT [If formal, what assessment instrument was utilized?]	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
PHONOLOGICAL MEMORY  Formal Informal	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
ORTHOGRAPHIC PROCESSING Formal Informal	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
VERBAL WORKING MEMORY Formal Informal	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
PROCESSING SPEED Formal Informal	E: S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:	E: S:
QUALITATIVE DATA – Information from informal inventories, teacher(s), parents(s), and student:						
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?						

Associated Academic Difficulties and Other (Co-Occurring) Conditions should be included in the summary and conclusions narrative following this section.  (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 11.)				
	ATTENTION	Describe:		
	HANDWRITING	Describe:		
	FAMILY HISTORY OF READING DIFFICULTIES	Describe:		
	BEHAVIOR ISSUES	Describe:		
	MOTIVATION	Describe:		
	SPEECH ISSUES	Describe:		
	OTHER:	Describe:		
	OTHER:	Describe:		
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS NARRATIVE — [attach additional page(s) if necessary]:				
DYSLEXIA EVALUATION COMPLETED BY:  Signature of Dyslexia Evaluator				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> **STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE** - The standard error is the estimated standard deviation or measure of variability in the sampling distribution of a statistic. A low standard error means there is relatively less spread in the sampling distribution. The standard error indicates the likely accuracy of the sample mean as compared with the population mean. The standard error decreases as the sample size increases and approaches the size of the population.

# Dyslexia Report for Students who are Monolingual (Spanish Speaking)

Stud	ent:	ID#:	DOB:	Gr:
Cam	pus:	Date of Assessn	nent:	
refer inclu mon	ON FOR REFERRAL: Page 1 and the top section ring campus <u>before</u> sending to dyslexia evaluading but not limited to previous screening itoring, information from classroom teacher(s) the profile is to be completed by the complete	itor. Provide or a s, universal scr , parent informat	ttach education eeners, curricul tion, and studer	al background data lum-based/progress
SPECI	FIC REASON FOR REFERRAL:			
(Incl	ious screening information: ude TPRI/Tejas LEE, Istation, STAR Early Literacy able, etc.)	scores, benchma	rks, state assess	ment results if
Pare	NT INFORMATION:			
	HER INFORMATION: ude observational data, writing samples, checkli	ists, etc.)		
AND S	OLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED AND <u>EXCLUDED</u> <u>SPELLING</u> DIFFICULTIES (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised ders, pgs. 17, 22, and 69):			
	Vision – Explain:			
	HEARING – Explain:			
	HEALTH-RELATED CONCERNS (e.g., brain injury, dis		-	ith learning) –

<b>ATTENDANCE</b> (e.g., frequent change of schools or districts, irregular attendance, and/or frequent tardies, etc.) – Explain:
Culture/Language/Experiential Background — Explain:

# EVALUATION SUMMARY AND PROFILE — TO BE COMPLETED BY DYSLEXIA EVALUATOR

#### **CONSIDERATIONS FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS:**

A professional involved in the assessment, interpretation of assessment results, and identification of ELLs with dyslexia needs to have the following training/knowledge:

- Knowledge of first and second language acquisition theory
- Knowledge of the written system of the first language transparent (Spanish, Italian, German),
   syllabic (Japanese-kana), Semitic (Arabic, Hebrew), and morphosyllabic (Chinese-Kanji)
- Knowledge of student's literacy skills in native and second language
- Knowledge of how to interpret results from a cross-linguistic perspective
- Knowledge of how to interpret the TELPAS (Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System)
- Knowledge of how to interpret the results of the student's oral language proficiency in two or more languages in relation to the results of the tests measuring academic achievement and cognitive processes as well as academic data gathered and economic and socioeconomic factors

(The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 19-20)

#### ASSESS AND EVALUATE LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT AND PROFICIENCY

Knowledge of a child's language proficiency and language dominance forms the basis of any assessment and guides the appropriate collection of information and data. Language proficiency in both languages <u>must</u> be assessed and determined as such information is crucial to the interpretation of any assessment data that is gathered. (Samuel O. Ortiz, Ph.D., St. John's University; Criselda Alvarado, Ph.D. "Best Practices in Assessment of Culturally Linguistic Diverse Students", pg. 6, 10-2006.)

**ORAL LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY & DOMINANCE:** Testing should be conducted in both languages of the student. Use this information to interpret other test scores. (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 20*)

**ATTENTION OR MEMORY** issues may impact (lower) the **listening comprehension** score; additional data can help substantiate possible difficulties such as teacher observations, parent observations, experiential background, etc. Additional areas for assessment (formal or informal measures) may include vocabulary, syntax, pragmatics, semantics, background knowledge, and inferencing.

Areas Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	STANDARD SCORE ENGLISH	STANDARD SCORE SPANISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY ENGLISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY SPANISH
LISTENING COMPREHENSION					
ORAL EXPRESSION					

Areas Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	STANDARD SCORE ENGLISH	STANDARD SCORE SPANISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY ENGLISH	CALP LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY SPANISH
ORAL LANGUAGE* (EXT)					
INFORMAL MEASURES	TELPAS LAS/IPT SOLOM ACCULTURATION QUICK SCREENER BOLD				
ACADEMIC VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE*					

<sup>\*</sup>The problems many students face with language proficiency revolve more around their lack of mastery of academic English than their ability to decode single words. (Wong, Fillimore, & Snow, 2000)

### RECOMMENDED PRACTICES FOR ASSESSING LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY:

"In general, the child's language performance must be compared to that of other bilingual speakers who have similar cultural and linguistic experience. (i.e., The child should be compared to members of the same cultural group who speak the same language/dialect and who have had similar opportunities to hear and use both languages.)" (Robert L. Rhodes, Salvador Hector Ochoa, and Samuel O. Ortiz, "Assessing Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Students", 2005.)

HOME LANGUAGE SURVEY (DATE):	LANGUAGE:
PARENT DATA:	
CONVERSATION SAMPLES observing discourse rules and language	e use:
NARRATIVE RETELL TASK:	
(ORAL) CLOZE PROCEDURE:	

## ACADEMIC SKILLS – AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:

The committee (§504 or ARD) <u>must</u> first determine whether a student's difficulties in the areas of <u>word</u> <u>reading and spelling</u> reflect a pattern of evidence for the primary characteristics of dyslexia with <u>unexpectedly</u> low performance for the student's age and educational level in some or all of the following areas (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders*, pg. 22):\*

PRIMARY CHARACTERISTICS OF DYSLEXIA	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	Below Average SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
WORD READING — [Reading words in isolation]	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:
DECODING UNFAMILIAR WORDS ACCURATELY	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:
SPELLING — [An isolated difficulty in spelling would NOT be sufficient to identify dyslexia.]	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:
LETTER KNOWLEDGE AND LETTER-SOUND CORRESPONDENCE: Informal and/or observational data.			S:	S:	S:	S:

<sup>\*</sup>Because phonological decoding is easier to master in Spanish than in English, phonological dyslexics are harder to detect. Differences between good readers and the reading disabled become more apparent when pseudo-words or words with low frequency are used. For this reason, pseudo-word reading is the most commonly used task in Spanish to select dyslexic children characterized by difficulties in using the phonological route. (Carmen López-Escribano and Tami Katzir, "Are Phonological Processes Separate from the Processes Underlying Naming Speed in a Shallow Orthography." Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, Vol. 6(3), pg. 646, 2008. [Citing R. Guzmán, "Evaluación de la velocidad lectora de nombrar en las dificultades de aprendizaje de la lectura." Psycotherma, 16, 442-447, 2004 and J. E. Jiménez, "Do the effects of computer-assisted practice differ for children with and without IQ-achievement discrepancy." Journal of Learning Disabilities, Vol. 36, 2003]).

The main observation is that the difficulties of Spanish dyslexic children are more noticeable when time is measured than when accuracy is measured. In other words, the deficit of the Spanish dyslexic children in terms of reading procedures and phonological processing skills becomes clearer when performance time is considered. (F. Serrano, S. Defior, "Dyslexia Speed Problems in a Transparent Orthography." Annals of Dyslexia, Vol. 58, pg. 90, 2008)

FLUENCY* Slow, inaccurate, or labored oral reading.							
READING FLUENCY - [Rate, Accuracy, and Prosody must be reported separately]	Assessment Instrument	WCPM [Rate]	% CORRECT [Accuracy]	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	Average SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
ACCURACY — [Reading words in text with no errors]	S:		S:	S:	S:	S:	S:
RATE — [Words correct per minute]	S:	S:		S:	S:	S:	S:
OBSERVED PROSODY: [Pitch, tone, volume, emphasis, & rhythm]	S:			S:	S:	S:	S:
OTHER FLUENCY INDICATORS [specify]:	S:			S:	S:	S:	S:

This form serves as a sample document that **could** be used in your district to record dyslexia assessment information. Alterations to this form can and should be made in accordance with district policies and procedures for dyslexia. \*Fluency scores obtained through curriculum-based measures. Rate (words correct per minute), and accuracy level based on percent of words read correctly. "A Spanish-speaking child with a mild-to-moderate difficulty in phonological awareness may acquire word reading skills in Spanish with minimal difficulty, but manifest difficulties in fluency because of the more transparent orthography of Spanish relative to other alphabetic languages, such as English." (R.K. Wagner, D.J. & R.D. Morris, "Identifying English Language Learners with Disabilities: Key Challenges and Possible Approaches." Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, Vol. 20, pgs. 6-15, 2005.) While decoding, word recognition, accuracy, and spelling are important dyslexia indicators in the English orthography, in more transparent orthographies, such as Spanish, it has less influence. Spanish-speaking children usually have more problems related to reading speed and orthographic knowledge. Their main reading problem is slow, laborious decoding of words when task demand increases. (Carmen López-Escribano and Tami Katzir, "Are Phonological Processes Separate from the Processes Underlying Naming Speed in a Shallow Orthography." Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, Vol. 6(3), pgs. 641-666, 2008.) QUALITATIVE DATA - Information from classroom to include curriculum-based monitoring data (e.g., TPRI/Tejas LEE, Istation, etc.); reading and spelling inventories; and independent writing samples. \*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores? Based on professional judgment in reviewing the student's qualitative and quantitative data, the evaluator has included the following assessments: reading comprehension, mathematics, and written **expression**. Measures used may be formal or informal. (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 20 – 22.) COMPOSITE STANDARD **BELOW** ABOVE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT SECONDARY **A**VERAGE [If formal, what assessment **ERROR OF A**VERAGE AVERAGE **CONSEQUENCES** SS instrument was utilized?] SUBTEST\* MEASURE<sup>1</sup> SS SS READING Composite COMPREHENSION S: S: S: S: S: ■ Subtest ■ Formal ■ Informal **M**ATHEMATICS ■ Composite S: □ Formal S: S: S: S: ■ Subtest ■ Informal WRITTEN EXPRESSION ☐ Composite S: S: S: S: S: [Informal writing ☐ Subtest samples] QUALITATIVE DATA – If providing informal data only, information from classroom should include: informal inventories, progress monitoring data and/or independent work samples.

*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?

# **COGNITIVE PROCESSES UNDERLYING ACADEMIC WEAKNESSES – AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:**

Difficulties in **phonological** and **phonemic awareness** are typically seen in students with dyslexia. (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 20.*)

DIFFICULTIES: UNDERLYING CAUSE	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
PHONOLOGICAL AWARENESS	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:
RAPID NAMING	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:

If phonological awareness is within the average range, consider the following:

- If a composite score is reported, look at the individual subtests that may reflect specific skill deficits reported in the composite score.
- Has the student received intervention that may have normalized the score? If so, it is important to note that because previous effective instruction in phonological/phonemic awareness may remediate phonological skills in isolation. Average phonological awareness scores alone do not rule out dyslexia. Ongoing phonological processing deficits can be exhibited in word reading and/or spelling. (The Dyslexia Handbook Revised 2014 Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22.)

Developmental dyslexia in Spanish seems to be associated with reading-related cognitive deficits that involve verbal working-memory, naming speed, and impairment in two main phonological skills related to learning to read, phonemic awareness, and phonological short-term memory. (These results lend support to the subgroup of dyslexics who experience the double-deficit phonological impairment plus impairment in naming speed which is the most serious dyslexic subgroup.) (Manuel Soriano and Lana Miranda, "Developmental Dyslexia in a Transparent Orthography: A Study of Spanish Dyslexic Children." Advances in Learning and Behavior Differences, Vol. 23, pg. 95, 2010.)

Letter Knowledge – name and associated sound are key to learning how to read and are not of and by themselves an indicator of dyslexia.

Depending on the nature of the writing system in the student's L1, rapid naming may be a better indicator of underlying cognitive deficits. (Carmen López-Escribano and Tami Katzir, "Are Phonological Processes Separate from the Processes Underlying Naming Speed in a Shallow Orthography." Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, Vol. 6(3), pg. 647, 2008.)

<b>QUALITATIVE DATA</b> – Information from classroom to include: early reading screeners, reading and spelling inventories, and information from teacher(s) and parents.
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?

# **UNEXPECTEDNESS – AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:**

Based on the above information and <i>The Dyslexia Handbook</i> guidelines, should the committee (§504 or ARD) determine that the student exhibits weaknesses in <i>word reading and spelling</i> , the committee <i>must</i> then examine the student's data to determine whether these difficulties are <i>unexpected</i> in relation to the student's other abilities, sociocultural factors, language difference, irregular attendance, or lack of appropriate and effective instruction. <i>"The student may exhibit strengths in areas such as reading comprehension, listening comprehension, math reasoning or verbal ability yet still have difficulty with reading and spelling. Therefore, <i>it is not one single indicator but a preponderance of data (both informal and formal) that provide the committee with evidence for whether these difficulties are <i>unexpected."</i>  (<i>The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22.</i>)</i></i>								
	ening comprehension (ability d grade appropriate in their I			is	☐ Yes	□ No		
	ening comprehension in the a	<del>-</del>	ge and grad	e	☐ Yes	□ No		
C. Is the student's verb (Spanish)?	pal expression age and grade	appropriate in th	neir native la	inguage	☐ Yes	□ No		
Area Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS		
ORAL EXPRESSION	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?								
D. Is the student's reading comprehension age and grade appropriate in their native language (Spanish)?  E. Is the student's math reasoning age and grade appropriate in their native language Yes No (Spanish)?								
Area Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	Average SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS		
READING COMPREHENSION	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
MATH REASONING	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		

QUALITATIVE DATA – Information from informal inventories, teacher(s), parent(s), and student.								
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?								
<b>ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT:</b> Based on professional judgment in reviewing the student's qualitative and quantitative data, the evaluator has included the following assessments related to word reading and spelling: phonological memory, orthographic processing, verbal working memory, and/or processing speed.  (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 20 - 21.)								
SECONDARY CONSEQUENCES	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT [If formal, what assessment instrument was utilized?]	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE <sup>1</sup>	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS		
PHONOLOGICAL MEMORY  Formal Informal	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
ORTHOGRAPHIC PROCESSING Formal Informal	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
VERBAL WORKING MEMORY Formal Informal	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
PROCESSING SPEED  Formal Informal	S:	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	S:	S:	S:	S:		
QUALITATIVE DATA – Information from informal inventories, teacher(s), parents(s), and student:								
*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?								

ASSOCIATED ACADEMIC DIFFICULTIES AND OTHER (CO-OCCURRING) CONDITIONS should be included in the summary and conclusions narrative following this section.  (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014 – Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 11.)		
۵	ATTENTION	Describe:
٥	Handwriting	Describe:
٥	FAMILY HISTORY OF READING DIFFICULTIES	Describe:
۵	BEHAVIOR ISSUES	Describe:
۵	MOTIVATION	Describe:
۵	SPEECH ISSUES	Describe:
	OTHER:	Describe:
۵	OTHER:	Describe:
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS NARRATIVE — [attach additional page(s) if necessary]:		
DYSLEXIA EVALUATION COMPLETED BY:  Signature of Dyslexia Evaluator		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> **STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE** - The standard error is the estimated standard deviation or measure of variability in the sampling distribution of a statistic. A low standard error means there is relatively less spread in the sampling distribution. The standard error indicates the likely accuracy of the sample mean as compared with the population mean. The standard error decreases as the sample size increases and approaches the size of the population.